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$$
n=501 \mid \pm 4.38
$$

A. How likely are you to vote - or have you already voted - in the November 6th General election for Governor and Congress out of the following options?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Already voted | 38 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Definitely voting | 402 | $80.2 \%$ |
| Probably voting | 61 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

2. On a scale of 0 to 10 , how would you rate your interest in the November 6 th general election, with 0 meaning not interested at all and 10 meaning extremely interested?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ | Lower MoE \% | Upper MoE \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9-10$ | 364 | $72.6 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ |
| $6-8$ | 109 | $21.8 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| $0-5$ | 28 | $5.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

3. If the elections were held today, and you had to make a decision, would you be voting mostly for Republican or Democratic candidates?

4.     - 6. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of:

|  | Favorable | Very fav | Unfavorable | Very unfav | No opinion | Never heard of | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Donald Trump | 49.6\% | 37.6\% | 49.2\% | 40.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  | $\underline{249}$ | 188 | $\underline{246}$ | 202 | 5 | 1 | 501 |
| Josh Hawley | 45.9\% | 27.6\% | 44.4\% | 29.2\% | 4.1\% | 5.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | $\underline{230}$ | 138 | $\underline{223}$ | 146 | 21 | 28 | 501 |
| Claire McCaskill | 51.0\% | 31.3\% | 47.3\% | 34.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | $\underline{256}$ | 157 | $\underline{237}$ | 170 | 5 | 3 | 501 |

Thinking again about the upcoming general elections...
7. If the election for U.S. Senate were held today, and you had to make a choice, would you vote for Josh Hawley, the Republican; Claire McCaskill, the Democrat; or a third-party candidate?

|  | Freq. | \% | D+2 | D+5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Josh Hawley | 248 | 49.4\% | 47.7\% | 45.1\% |
| Josh Hawley - definitely | 200 | 40.0\% | 38.5\% | 36.3\% |
| Josh Hawley - probably | 46 | 9.3\% | 9.0\% | 8.6\% |
| Josh Hawley - lean | 1 | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Claire McCaskill | 233 | 46.4\% | 48.2\% | 50.8\% |
| Claire McCaskill- definitely | 194 | 38.8\% | 40.3\% | 42.7\% |
| Claire McCaskill - probably | 35 | 6.9\% | 7.1\% | 7.4\% |
| Claire McCaskill - lean | 4 | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| A third-party candidate | $\underline{6}$ | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% |
| A third-party candidate - definitely | 4 | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| A third-party candidate - probably | 1 | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Undecided | 15 | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Total | 501 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

8. Which of the following statements best describes how President Trump's actions influence your support of local Republican candidates?

|  | Freq. | \% | Lower MoE \% | Upper MoE \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support Local Republicans | $\underline{290}$ | 58.0\% | 53.4\% | 62.5\% |
| I support Trump's actions and will vote for local Republicans | 209 | 41.7\% | 37.2\% | 46.2\% |
| I do not support Trump's actions but will still vote for local Republicans | 81 | 16.2\% | 12.7\% | 19.8\% |
| I will vote against local Republicans to send a message to Trump and Washington | 149 | 29.8\% | 25.5\% | 34.0\% |
| Undecided | 62 | 12.3\% | 9.1\% | 15.5\% |
| Total | 501 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

And a final few questions for statistical purposes only...
D1. Gender

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 270 | $53.8 \%$ |
| Male | 231 | $46.2 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D2. What age range do you fall within?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Under 50 }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 8 2}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3 6 . 3} \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | 109 | $21.6 \%$ |
| $35-49$ | $\underline{319}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{6 3 . 7} \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ and Over | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ | $31.6 \%$ |
| $50-64$ | 161 | $32.1 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

D3. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Democrat, Republican, or Independent?

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | 237 | $47.4 \%$ |
| Democrat | 184 | $36.7 \%$ |
| Independent/Other | 58 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Unsure | 22 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D4. And thinking about your views toward politics and government, would you say you are...

|  | Freq. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conservative | 227 | 45.2\% |
| Very conservative | 105 | 21.0\% |
| Somewhat conservative | 121 | 24.2\% |
| Moderate | 148 | 29.6\% |
| Liberal | 116 | 23.1\% |
| Somewhat liberal | 87 | 17.4\% |
| Very liberal | 29 | 5.7\% |
| Unsure | 10 | 2.1\% |
| Total | 501 | 100.0\% |

## D5. Phone Type

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Landline | 302 | $60.2 \%$ |
| Cell | 199 | $39.8 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

## D6. General Voter Propensity

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| High | 289 | $57.6 \%$ |
| Medium | 111 | $22.1 \%$ |
| Low | 88 | $17.6 \%$ |
| New Registrants | 14 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D7. General Election Participation Habit - Presidential-years-only, Midterm-years only, Both Presidential \& Midterm years

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Presidential years only | 109 | $21.9 \%$ |
| Midterm years only | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Both Presidential and Midterm years | 376 | $75.0 \%$ |
| New Registrants | 14 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

## D8. Congressional District

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 47 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 2 | 76 | $15.2 \%$ |
| 3 | 80 | $16.0 \%$ |
| 4 | 55 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 5 | 62 | $12.3 \%$ |
| 6 | 60 | $12.0 \%$ |
| 7 | 53 | $10.6 \%$ |
| 8 | 67 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D9. DMA

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| St. Louis | 206 | $41.1 \%$ |
| Kansas City | 123 | $24.6 \%$ |
| Ottumwa | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Quincy | 7 | $1.4 \%$ |
| St. Joseph | 3 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Columbia | 43 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Joplin-Pittsburg | 11 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Paducah | 29 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Springfield | 74 | $14.9 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D10. Gender + Age

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F 18-34 | 40 | $8.0 \%$ |
| F 35-49 | 54 | $10.7 \%$ |
| F 50-64 | 89 | $17.7 \%$ |
| F $5+$ | 87 | $17.4 \%$ |
| M 18-34 | 33 | $6.6 \%$ |
| M 35-49 | 55 | $11.1 \%$ |
| M 50-64 | 70 | $13.9 \%$ |
| M 65+ | 73 | $14.7 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D11. Ethnicity

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | 425 | $84.9 \%$ |
| Black | 21 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Other | 47 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D12. Income

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $<\$ 25 k-\$ 49 \mathrm{k}$ | 172 | $34.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 50 \mathrm{k}-\$ 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 224 | $44.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 105 | $21.0 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D13. Education

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| College | 281 | $56.0 \%$ |
| No College | 220 | $44.0 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

D14. Gender + Education

|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female College | 154 | $30.7 \%$ |
| Female No College | 116 | $23.1 \%$ |
| Male College | 127 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Male No College | 104 | $20.9 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |


|  | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 485 | $96.9 \%$ |
| Yes | 16 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Total | 501 | $100.0 \%$ |

## METHODOLOGY

This probabilistic telephone survey was conducted October 26-27, 2018, with 501 likely general election voters. It has a margin of error of $\pm 4.38 \%$. Interviews were conducted by live professional agents calling landline and cell phones. Landline phone interviews constitute $60.2 \%$ of the sample, while $39.8 \%$ were conducted by cell phone. This survey was weighted to a likely general election voter universe.

## ABOUT THE FIRM

Cygnal is a Montgomery, Ala.-based polling and research firm that has conducted more than 750 survey research projects in 42 states. Our team nailed both the 2014 and 2016 election cycles, correctly predicting Trump's margin in Florida and his win in Wisconsin. Campaigns, corporations, associations, national committees, and state organizations rely on Cygnal to gather reliable, affordable polling data in state-wide, congressional, legislative, and local geographies

